IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 16396 of 2004

Between:

APSRTC., rep.by its Managing Director, Musheerabad, Hyderabad

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The State Transport Appellate Tribunal, Manoranjan Complex, M.J.Road, . . .
Nampally,
Hyderabad- rep.by its Presiding Officer
2 Regional Transport Authority, Ananthapur District at Ananthapur,
rep.by its Secretary
3 Sri P.S.Jakir Hussain, S/o P.S.Jabbar,
R/o 1-11-176, Hindupur, Ananthapur District

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction, especially in the nature of Writ of Certiorari by mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned order dated 10-5-2004 made in RP 214/03 on the file of the State Transport Appellate Tribunal, A.P. Hyderabad in dismissing the revision petition filed against the order dated 12-3-2003 in item No.43 in Rc.No.3774/A2/2002 of the Regional Transport Authority, Ananthapur granting pucca stage carriage permit on the alleged town service route Hindupur Municipal Bus stand to Gollapuram and quash both the orders as being illegal, without jurisdiction and invalid, and declare that the grant of the permit to the 3rd respondent on the above route as being hit by the provisions of Section 68(3)(ca) and 104 of M.V.Act, 1988.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.KALLAKURI SRINIVASARAO

Counsel for the Respondent 1 and 2.: GP FOR TRANSPORT

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

-

ORDER:-

The appellate Tribunal rightly came to the conclusion that the revision petition is time barred. After elaborate hearing of the matter, it is noticed that the revision petition was filed beyond 30 days from the date of granting of permit to the 3rd respondent. The limitation Act is not applicable to these proceedings.

Hence, the Writ Petition cannot be entertained and it is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

Dr. G.Yethirajulu,J

14th September, 2004.

KM

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Presiding Officer,

1 The State Transport Appellate Tribunal, Manoranjan Complex, M.J.Road, . . .
Nampally, Hyderabad-

2. The Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority, Ananthapur District at Ananthapur,
3. 2 CCs to the G.P. for Transport, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

4. 2CD copies

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 16396 of 2004

-

14th September, 2004.